# A Handbook for the Identification of Yellowfin and Bigeye Tunas in *Brine Frozen Condition* (v5)



#### **David G Itano**

Pelagic Fisheries Research Program University of Hawaii, JIMAR Honolulu, Hawaii USA

December 2005: version 5



# Handbook for the Identification of Yellowfin and Bigeye Tunas in *Brine Frozen Condition* (v5)



David G Itano
Pelagic Fisheries Research Program
University of Hawaii, JIMAR
Honolulu, Hawaii

Originally submitted as v4 to the
17<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing Committee on Tuna and Billfish
Majuro, Marshall Islands (9-18 August 2004)
Fishing Technology Working Group
INF-FTWG-5
August 2004

#### Version 5: Edited and revised December 19 2005

images were taken by David G Itano during a contract with the Southwest Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration and released by NOAA for training purposes.

All images were taken on board the New Zealand flag purse seine vessel San Nikunau courtesy of Mr. Martin de Beer of Sanford Ltd. The project is greately indebted to Mr de Beer and to Gordon Yamasaki, NMFS for coordinating boarding logistics between NMFS port samplers, COS Samoa Packing and the FV San Nikunau.

Note: All images are by the author unless specifically credited otherwise. No images represented here may be reproduced separately from this handbook without express written consent of the author.

The MS Powerpoint version of this ID guide can be made available to fisheries observer programs and agencies for training purposes by contacting the author directly.

# Identification of Yellowfin and Bigeye Tuna by Visual Criteria



Identifying fresh tuna is a relatively easy matter, even at small sizes, as coloration, body markings and body morphologies are distinct and easily separated



However, colors fade quickly after death and markings may fade or disappear, particularly when frozen.



Tuna stored in brine wells often sustain minor skin abrasion, fin damage and distortion during the freezing, loading and unloading process, making them harder to identify.

# Identification of Yellowfin and Bigeye Tuna by Visual Criteria

Yellowfin and Bigeye tuna are more difficult to distinguish in the small to medium size classes that are commonly caught by tuna purse seine vessels. The proper identification and separation of bigeye from yellowfin in purse seine landings is of critical importance to regional stock assessment and management of the species.

Juvenile yellowfin and bigeye tuna in brine frozen condition can be reliably identified using a combination of the following features:



#### Internal characteristics

- liver appearance and morphology
- swim bladder morphology

#### External characteristics

- body morphology
- head and eye morphology
- body markings
- pectoral fin characteristics
- caudal fin characteristics
- finlet coloration


4

- Liver morphology
- Large, conspicuous organ along anterior, ventral portion of gut cavity



- Bigeye
  - three rounded lobes with medial lobe slightly larger
- Yellowfin
  - right lobe longer and thinner than rounded medial and left lobes

# Liver appearance



# > Bigeye


ventral surface of liver striated

#### > Yellowfin

 lobes smooth, clear, no striations



#### Swim bladder



# Bigeye

- occupies almost entire body cavity
- large, conspicuous, often inflated

#### > Yellowfin <

- only in anterior half of body cavity
- inconspicuous, usually deflated or slightly inflated



#### Coloration

- Colors fade quickly after death
- Both species appear similar in coloration when frozen




COLOR IS NOT A USEFUL CHARACTERISTIC FOR BRINE FROZEN BIGEYE AND YELLOWFIN !!!

#### Body morphology

#### Yellowfin

- body elongate, long tail
- body outline flat between second dorsal and caudal fin and between anal and caudal fin




- body deep, rounded
- body outline rounded, forming a smooth between snout and caudal peduncle

Head and eye morphology

#### Bigeye

- greater head length and depth vs Fork Length than yellowfin
- greater eye diameter compared to yellowfin of same Fork Length






#### Yellowfin

- shorter head length and depth vs Fork Length than bigeye
- smaller eye diameter compared to bigeye of same Fork Length

#### Body markings




#### Yellowfin

- conspicuous, chevron pattern of closely spaced silvery lines
- lines alternate between solid lines and rows of dots




- irregular, vertical, widely spaced lines
- mostly straight white lines, fewer spots or dashes

Body markings – anterior under pectoral



#### > Yellowfin

- conspicuous alternating bands forward to below pectoral fin
- clear demarcation between marked and unmarked region



- markings more common on posterior half of body, few spots
- demarcation between marked and unmarked region not as clear

#### Remember – there are always exceptions to the rule



#### Yellowfin

- banding can become washed out, rubbed out or faded
- the eye may appear quite large, like that of a bigeye tuna
- the body may become squashed or deformed, giving a deeper appearance similar to a bigeye




- body markings can be quite distinct, with rows of lines and dots
- however, rows are not as closely spaced or regular as with yellowfin

■ Pectoral fin length and characteristics (for fish ~46-110 cm)

#### Bigeye

- pectoral fin reaching beyond base of second dorsal fin
- pectoral fin thin, pointed and flexible



#### Yellowfin

- pectoral fin reaching no further than center of base of second dorsal fin
- pectoral fin thicker, stiffer compared to bigeye


#### Pectoral fin characteristics



# Bigeye

- pectoral fin tip often points ventrally when folded
- pectoral fin tip thin, pointed,
   "floppy", often split
- forms a smooth arc when erected, fine tip easily split






#### > Yellowfin

pectoral fin shorter, thicker, "blade-like" compared to bigeye

#### Caudal fin



### > Yellowfin

- Central portion of trailing edge forms distinct "V" notch
- Two distinctly raised ridges present that form the "V" notch

- Central portion of trailing edge forms a flat or slightly crescent shaped area
- Central area of caudal fin flat with two inconspicuous low mounds present.



#### Caudal fin

Yellowfin



Yellowfin





Yellowfin



Bigeye




**Bigeye** 





- Finlets
- > Bigeye
  - yellowish color edged with black



### Yellowfin

bright yellow with no or slight black edging

#### **Comparisons by size and features**

#### Yellowfin (~44.5 cm)

- Shorter, "blade-like" pectoral fin
- Closely spaced chevron markings of lines and rows of dots
- Shorter, smaller head, smaller eye



# Bigeye (~46 cm)

- Long, pointed pectoral fin, pointing ventrally at tip
- Large head, deep body, large eye

#### Note: specific to these examples

- caudal fins damaged, here not useful for identifications
- bigeye markings absent, but this is often the case with bigeye
- bigeye body form distorted, smashed and not as useful for ID

### **Comparisons by size and features**

#### ➢ Bigeye (~49 cm)

- Large, deep head, large eye, deeply rounded body
- Long pectoral fin with thin, pointed tip
- Vertical, widely spaced white lines



#### Yellowfin (~49 cm)

- Long, narrow body, small head, small eye
- Closely spaced, chevron pattern of alternating lines and rows of spots clearly visible to below pectoral fin

#### Note:

- pectoral fins are not that different in length on these samples
- however, bigeye pectoral does extend to beyond second dorsal

### **Comparisons by size and features**

#### Yellowfin (~61 cm)

- Long, narrow body, straight outline behind 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal fin
- Closely spaced, chevron pattern of lines and rows of dots
- Thick, relatively short, "blade-like" pectoral fin
- "V" notch in tail



# Bigeye (~54 cm)

- Rounded, deep body outline, large, deep head, large eye
- Long pectoral fin, thin tip pointing ventrally
- Irregular white lines


#### Note:

• the bigeye is fairly well marked with white, broken lines and some spots to below the pectoral fin, but they are not as closely spaced or regularly alternating as with the yellowfin

#### Comparisons by size and features

#### Yellowfin (~85 cm)

- Long, narrow body, straight outline behind 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal
- Thick, "blade-like" pectoral to center of 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal fin base
- Evenly spaced lines and rows of uniform dots
- Noticeable "V" notch in caudal fin with two raised areas
- 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal and anal fins beginning to elongate



#### Bigeye (~80 cm)

- Deep, rounded body outline, large, deep head, large eye
- Long pectoral fin, split and wavy at tip
- Trailing edge of caudal fin flat

#### Note:

lateral markings on the bigeye are no longer visible

### Comparisons by size and features

#### ➢ Bigeye (~95 cm)

- Deep, rounded body outline, large, deep head, large eye
- Very long pectoral fin with thin, pointed tip
- Stomach inverted and protruding from mouth



#### Yellowfin (~105 cm)

- Elongate body and tail, straight outline behind 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal fin
- Short, thick, blade-like pectoral fin
- 2<sup>nd</sup> dorsal and anal fins noticeably elongated
- Closely spaced lines and rows of dots visible but fading


#### Note:

- lateral markings on the bigeye are no longer visible
- yellowfin stomachs will not inflate and protrude like bigeye due to smaller swim bladder

# **Comparisons**

# Bigeye

 Larger head, large eye, deeper body, vertical white body markings, long pectoral fin




#### Yellowfin

 Small head, smaller eye, chevron pattern of closely spaced body markings, short pectoral fin

#### **Comparisons**

#### Bigeye

- Large, deep head, long, downwardly pointing pectoral fin
- Vertical, irregular white bars on a short, rounded body



#### > Yellowfin

- Small, narrow head, thick, blade-like pectoral fin
- Well marked with regular, close lines alternating with rows of dots in a chevron pattern on an elongate body


#### Mixed fish in the well

The sampler must be alert to changes in size and species compositions during the unloading process, and record these changes as they occur. In order to do so, the ability to quickly determine tuna species under a variety of conditions is necessary.




The following examples provide tips on how to rapidly assess and tentatively identify yellowfin and bigeye mixed in a well using the external features outlined in this guide.


However, positive identifications should be based on a careful examination of each individual fish using all appropriate criteria.



- 1) Bigeye long, arcing pectoral fin with pointed tip, flat trailing caudal
- 2) Bigeye large head, irregular banding (not positive, needs closer ID)
- 3) Bigeye large eye, long pectoral, large-deep head
- 4) Yellowfin close, well marked bands and rows of spots
- 5) Bigeye vertical, widely spaced bars, floppy pectoral tip, flat caudal
- 6) Bigeye vertical, irregularly spaced bars, long pectoral, large eye
- 7) Bigeye long pectoral fin points ventrally, vertical white lines
- 8) Yellowfin short, thick pectoral, close, regular alternating marks
- 9) Bigeye large head, large eye, long pectoral, vertical irregular marks
- 10) Bigeye long pectoral, large eye, large head, body shape, marks
- 11) Yellowfin short, blade like pectoral, close, regular alternating marks
- 12) Yellowfin close, regular alternating marks



- 1) Yellowfin chevron pattern of close lines, long body, caudal notch
- 2) Bigeye large head, large eye, irregular banding with few spots
- 3) Yellowfin thick, bladelike pectoral, close regular markings, (needs closer look to confirm as obscured by brine scum)
- 4) Bigeye long pectoral, vertical white bands, crescent caudal notch
- 5) Bigeye long pectoral, deep body, flat caudal notch, vertical bar
- 6) Yellowfin chevron pattern of close lines, short pectoral, small eye
- 7) Bigeye long pectoral, vertical white lines, large head, large eye
- 8) Yellowfin chevron pattern of lines, V notch in caudal, short pectoral
- 9) Yellowfin short head, chevron pattern of lines (needs closer look)
- 10) Bigeye long pectoral pointing down, vertical white lines, deep body
- 11) Yellowfin chevron pattern of close lines and rows of spots
- 12) Bigeye long pectoral with thin tip, widely spacedvertical white lines



- 1) Bigeye vertical, irregular white bands, flat caudal notch
- 2) Yellowfin short pectoral, close, regular markings, small eye
- 3) Yellowfin close, regular markings with spots to below pectoral
- 4) Bigeye long pectoral with floppy tip, large head, large eye, deep
- 5) Bigeye long pectoral with thin tip, irregular, indistinct markings
- 6) Yellowfin short, thick pectoral, chevron pattern of close, regular lines, V notch in caudal fin with two, raised bumps
- 7) Bigeye widely spaced vertical white lines, deep body, dark finlets
- 8) Yellowfin chevron pattern of regular lines with dots, short pectoral
- 9) Bigeye long, pointy pectoral, large head, large eye
- 10) Bigeye irregular white lines, no spots, large head, large eye
- 11) Yellowfin close, regular alternating lines and rows of spots



- 1) Bigeye long, arcing pectoral fin with pointed, split tip
- Bigeye long, pointed, floppy pectoral. Flat to crescent shaped caudal notch
- 3) Bigeye vertical, widely spaced white bars, long pectoral fin
- 4) Yellowfin close, well marked bands and rows of spots, shorter, thicker pectoral (not positive, needs closer look)
- 5) Yellowfin short, blade-like pectoral (not positive, needs closer look)
- 6) Bigeye caudal notch shape, black edged finlets
- 7) Yellowfin close, even body markings, caudal V notch, yellow finlets
- 8) Bigeye flat tail notch, vertical with body markings
- 9) Bigeye long arcing pectoral fin, vertical white lines

#### Mixed fish in the well – fill in the blanks



1)	 	
2)		
3)		
4)		
5)		
6)		_
,		
7) 8)		
9)		
10)		_
11) 12)	 	_

32

#### Note:

The yellowfin and bigeye samples illustrated in this guide are in good condition, making identifications relatively easy and straight forward. However, using a combination of the features illustrated here, port samplers and observers should be able to make positive identifications from fish in a wide range of condition using external characteristics.



#### Remember:

Identifications should be based on a combination of features appropriate to the particular sample being examined – and not just a single feature. If doubt remains, the fish should be set aside and examined for internal characteristics.